



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,335	08/21/2001	William John Zelinski JR.	TZIN-005	8129
7590	05/28/2004			
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, PHONG H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3724				

Curtis V. Harr
P. O. Box 2842
Fargo, ND 58108-2842

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CS

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/935,335	Applicant(s) ZELINSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Phong H Nguyen	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Phong H Nguyen. (3) Mr. Curtis Harr.
 (2) Mr. Allan Shoap. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Maroney (5,528,967).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the difference between the Applicant's invention and Maroney's invention. Maroney teaches cutting a fruit into two hemispherical sections while leaving the pit intact. Applicant uses the water jet to cut through the potatoes. Applicant believes no one has done this before with potatoes. Applicant may provide one or more 37 CFR 1.132 affidavits or declarations for secondary consideration on this issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Allan N. Shoap
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required